## Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

## Northern Minnesota Drift & Lake Plains (Ecological Section 212N)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Northwoods region (Handler et al. 2014: <a href="doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-133">doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-133</a>). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (<a href="doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4">doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4</a>).

Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- ADAPTABILITY based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- CAPABILITY a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.

## CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

**NOTE:** Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

POOR CAPABILITY	
Balsam poplar	
FAIR CAPABILITY	
Balsam fir	Black spruce
Bigtooth aspen	Jack pine
Black ash	Quaking aspen
Black cherry	Red pine
GOOD CAPABILITY	
American elm	Northern red oak
Bur oak	Red maple
Eastern white pine	Sugar maple
Hackberry	White oak
Northern pin oak	Yellow birch
MIXED CAPABILITY	
American basswood	Tamarack (native)
Northern white-cedar	White spruce
Paper birch	

• MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL - when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

**CREDIT:** This handout summarizes the full model results for the Northern Minnesota Drift & Lake Plains (Ecological Section 212N). Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (<a href="doi:10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4">doi:10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4</a>). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at <a href="fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs">fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs</a>). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at <a href="forestadaptation.org/northwoods">forestadaptation.org/northwoods</a>.







## Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

**ADAPTABILITY:** Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- · MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

**HABITAT CHANGE:** Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
  - **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

**ABUNDANCE:** Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- соммон
- RARE

**CAPABILITY:** An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- △ **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

			LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		CLIMATE E (RCP 8.5)				LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
SPECIES	ADAPT AB	HABITA UN CHANGE	T Capability	HABITAT CHANGE		SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY
American basswood			Δ	•	0	Mockernut hickory	+		*		*	
American beech	•	*		*		Mountain maple*	+	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
American elm	•		Δ		Δ	Northern pin oak	+	•	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
American hornbeam*	• -	_	$\nabla$	•	$\nabla$	Northern red oak	+	•	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
American mountain-ash*		_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Northern white-cedar	•	•	•	0	_	Δ
Balsam fir		- <b>V</b>	0	•	0	Paper birch	•	+	•	Δ	_	0
Balsam poplar	•	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Pignut hickory	•		*		*	
Bigtooth aspen	•	•	0		0	Pin cherry*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
Bitternut hickory*	+ -	-	Δ		Δ	Post oak	+		*		*	
Black ash		-	0		0	Quaking aspen	•	+	_	0	_	0
Black cherry		-	0		0	Red maple	+	•	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Black locust*	•	*		*		Red mulberry*	•		*		*	
Black oak	•	*		*		Red pine	_	+	•	0	•	0
Black spruce	• -	- <b>V</b>	0	_	0	Serviceberry*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
Black walnut*	•	*		*		Shagbark hickory	•		*		*	
Black willow*		-	$\nabla$		0	Shumard oak*	+		*		*	
Boxelder*	+ -	-	Δ		Δ	Silver maple*	+	_	•	0	•	0
Bur oak	+ -	- 🔺	Δ		Δ	Slippery elm*	•	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Eastern cottonwood*	• -	-	Δ		Δ	Sugar maple	+	•	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Eastern hemlock	_	*		*		Swamp white oak*	•		*		*	
Eastern redcedar	•	*		*		Sweetgum	•				*	
Eastern white pine	_		Δ		Δ	Sycamore*	•		*		*	
Green ash*	•		Δ		Δ	Tamarack (native)	_	+	_	Δ	•	0
Hackberry	+ -	-	Δ	_	Δ	White ash	_		*		*	
Honeylocust*	+	*		*		White oak	+	_	<b>A</b>	Δ	<b>A</b>	Δ
Ironwood*	+		Δ	<b>A</b>	Δ	White spruce	•	•	•	0	<u> </u>	Δ
Jack pine	+	. 🔻	0	<b>V</b>	0	Yellow birch	•	_	<b>A</b>	Δ	_	Δ