


# Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

## Northern Minnesota & Ontario (Ecological Section 212M)



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Northwoods region (Handler et al. 2014: [doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-133](https://doi.org/10.2737/NRS-GTR-133)). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas ([doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4](https://doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4)).

Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** - calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- **ADAPTABILITY** - based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- **CAPABILITY** - a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- **MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL** - when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

### CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

**NOTE:** Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

#### POOR CAPABILITY

Eastern white pine

#### FAIR CAPABILITY

Balsam fir                      Black spruce

Balsam poplar                      Quaking aspen

Black cherry                      Tamarack (native)

#### GOOD CAPABILITY

American basswood                      Northern red oak

American elm                      Northern white-cedar

Bigtooth aspen                      Paper birch

Bur oak                      Red maple

Hackberry                      Sugar maple

Northern pin oak                      Yellow birch

#### MIXED CAPABILITY

Black ash                      Red pine

Jack pine                      White spruce

**CREDIT:** This handout summarizes the full model results for the Northern Minnesota & Ontario (Ecological Section 212M). Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas ([doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4](https://doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4)). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at [fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs](https://fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs)). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at [forestadaptation.org/northwoods](https://forestadaptation.org/northwoods).



This handout is a product of the USDA Northern Forests Climate Hub and the Northern Institute of Applied Climate Science, a collaborative, multi-institutional partnership led by the USDA Forest Service. Funding was provided by the USDA Forest Service.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.



# Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at [fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries](https://fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries).

**ADAPTABILITY:** Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- **MEDIUM**
- **LOW** Species may perform worse than modeled

**HABITAT CHANGE:** Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ **INCREASE** Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- ▼ **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- **NO CHANGE** Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ★ **NEW HABITAT** Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

**ABUNDANCE:** Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + **ABUNDANT**
- **COMMON**
- **RARE**

**CAPABILITY:** An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- ▲ **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- **FAIR** Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ **POOR** Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)		SPECIES	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY		ADAPT	ABUN	HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY
American basswood	•	•	▲	▲	Honeylocust*	+	•	★	★
American elm	•	•	▲	▲	Ironwood*	+	-	▲	▲
American hornbeam*	•			★	Jack pine	+	•	▼	○
American mountain-ash*	-	-	▼	▼	Mountain maple*	+	-	▼	▼
Balsam fir	-	+	●	○	Northern pin oak	+	-	▲	▲
Balsam poplar	•	+	▼	○	Northern red oak	+	-	▲	▲
Bigtooth aspen	•	-	▲	▲	Northern white-cedar	•	+	●	▲
Bitternut hickory*	+		★	★	Paper birch	•	•	▲	▲
Black ash	-	+	▲	▲	Pin cherry*	•	-	▼	▼
Black cherry	-	-	▲	○	Quaking aspen	•	+	▼	○
Black locust*	•		★	★	Red maple	+	•	▲	▲
Black oak	•		★	★	Red mulberry*	•		★	★
Black spruce	•	+	▼	○	Red pine	-	•	▲	▲
Black walnut*	•		★	★	Serviceberry*	•	-	▼	▼
Black willow*	-	-	▲	○	Shagbark hickory	•		★	★
Boxelder*	+	-	▲	▲	Silver maple*	+	-	▲	▲
Bur oak	+	•	▲	▲	Slippery elm*	•	-	▲	▲
Eastern cottonwood*	•		★	★	Sugar maple	+	-	▲	▲
Eastern hemlock	-		★	★	Swamp white oak*	•		★	★
Eastern redbud*	•		★	★	Tamarack (native)	-	+	●	○
Eastern redcedar	•		★	★	White ash	-		★	★
Eastern white pine	-	-	▲	▼	White oak	+		★	★
Green ash*	•	•	▲	▲	White spruce	•	•	▼	▼
Hackberry	+	-	▲	▲	Yellow birch	•	-	▲	▲

\*Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class.