## Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species

## Massachusetts -

Massachusetts' forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the New England region (Janowiak et al. 2018: <a href="doi.org/10.2737/nrs-gtr-173">doi.org/10.2737/nrs-gtr-173</a>). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (<a href="doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4">doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4</a>). Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** calculated based on 39 variables that explain where optimum conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- ADAPTABILITY based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- CAPABILITY a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform

## CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

**NOTE:** Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

, 3,	·					
POOR CAPABILITY						
Balsam fir	Pitch pine					
Black ash	Quaking aspen					
Black spruce	Red pine					
Bur oak	Red spruce					
Eastern white pine	Sweet birch					
Honeylocust	Tamarack (native)					
Paper birch						
FAIR CAPABILITY						
Bigtooth aspen	White ash					
Black cherry	Yellow birch					
Shagbark hickory						
GOOD CAPABILITY						
American beech	Northern red oak					
American holly	Pignut hickory					
Black oak	Post oak					
Blackgum	Red maple					
Chestnut oak	Scarlet oak					
Eastern redcedar	Sugar maple					
Flowering dogwood	White oak					
Mockernut hickory	Yellow-poplar					
MIXED CAPABILITY						
American basswood	Eastern hemlock					
American elm						

better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of future-adapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

**CREDIT:** This handout summarizes the full model results for the State of Massachusetts. Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at <u>fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs</u>). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at <u>forestadaptation.org/new-england</u>.







## Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at <a href="fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries">fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries</a>.

**ADAPTABILITY:** Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- · MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

**HABITAT CHANGE:** Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
  - **DECREASE** Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

**ABUNDANCE:** Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- · COMMON
- RARE

**CAPABILITY:** An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- △ **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- FAIR Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- **▼ POOR** Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

			LOW CLIMATE HIGH CLIMATE			and uncommon or rare			LOW CLIMATE		HIGH CLIMATE		
				(RCP 4.5)		CLIMATE E (RCP 8.5)					E (RCP 4.5)		E (RCP 8.5)
			HABITAT	<u>·                                    </u>	HABITAT	<u> </u>				HABITAT	<u></u> ,	HABITAT	
SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN		APABILITY		CAPABILITY	SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN		CAPABILITY		CAPABILITY
American basswood	•	_		0		Δ	Mockernut hickory	+	_		Δ		Δ
American beech	•	•		Δ		Δ	Mountain maple*	+	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
American elm	•	•	<b>V</b>	$\nabla$		0	Northern red oak	+	+		Δ		Δ
American holly	•	_		Δ		Δ	Paper birch	•	•	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
American hornbeam*	•	_		$\nabla$		Δ	Pignut hickory	•	•		Δ		Δ
American mountain-ash	* –	_	<b>V</b>	$\nabla$		$\nabla$	Pin cherry*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
Atlantic white-cedar*	-	_	•	$\nabla$		$\nabla$	Pin oak*	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	lacksquare
Balsam fir	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Pitch pine	•	•	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Bigtooth aspen	•	•	•	0		0	Post oak	+	_	_	Δ	_	Δ
Bitternut hickory*	+	_	•	0		0	Quaking aspen	•	•	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Black ash	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Red maple	+	+	_	Δ	_	Δ
Black cherry	-	•		0		0	Red pine	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Black hickory	•		*		*		Red spruce	_		_	$\nabla$		$\overline{\nabla}$
Black locust*	•	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	River birch*	•		*		*	
Black oak	•	•	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Sassafras*		_		Δ		Δ
Black spruce	•	_	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Scarlet oak				Δ	<u> </u>	Δ
Black walnut*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Serviceberry*	•	_	•	$\nabla$	•	$\nabla$
Black willow*	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Shagbark hickory	•	_		0		0
Blackgum	+		<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Shortleaf pine	•		*		*	
Blackjack oak	+		*		*		Silver maple*	+	_	_	$\nabla$	•	0
Boxelder*	+	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Slippery elm*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$
Bur oak	+	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	Sourwood	+		*		*	
Cherrybark oak	•		*		*		Southern red oak	+		*		*	
Chestnut oak	+	_	<u> </u>	Δ	<u> </u>	Δ	Sugar maple	+		•	Δ	•	Δ
Chinkapin oak	•		*		*		Sugarberry	•		*		*	
Cittamwood*	+		*		*		Swamp chestnut oak*	•	_	_	$\nabla$		Δ
Common persimmon*	+		*		*		Swamp white oak*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
Eastern cottonwood*	•	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Sweet birch	_		•	$\nabla$	•	$\overline{\nabla}$
Eastern hemlock	_	+	_	0	_	$\nabla$	Sweetgum	•		*		*	<u> </u>
Eastern redbud*			*		*		Sycamore*	•		*		*	
Eastern redcedar	•	_		Δ	_	Δ	Tamarack (native)	_	_	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$
Eastern white pine	_	+	_	$\nabla$	_	$\nabla$	Virginia pine	•		*	·	*	<u> </u>
Flowering dogwood	•	_		Δ	_	Δ	Water oak	•		*		*	
Gray birch*	•	•	•	0	•	0	White ash		•	<u> </u>	0	_	0
Green ash*		_	•	$\nabla$	_	0	White oak	+			Δ		Δ
Honeylocust	+	_	_	$\overline{\nabla}$	_	$\nabla$	Willow oak*	•		<u></u>		<del>_</del>	
Ironwood*	+			$\overline{\nabla}$		$\overline{\Delta}$	Winged elm	•		*		*	
Loblolly pine	•		*	<u> </u>	*		Yellow birch	•		•	0	•	0
Longleaf pine	•		*		*		Yellow-poplar	+	_		Δ		Δ
0							popiai						