

Forest Carbon Modeling Group

Entity Guidelines Workshop

Chris Woodall and Courtney Giebink, USFS

Holly Munro, NCASI

October 22, 2024

Policy and Program Environment in Need of Forest Modeling





USDA



- Bipartisan Infrastructure Law Wildfire Crisis Strategy
- Executive Order on Mature and Old-Growth Forests
- Inflation Reduction Act Program Implementation
- Voluntary Carbon Markets and ESG
- Federal Greenhouse Gas Information System
- Growing Climate Solutions Act
- US International Commitments
- EU Green Deal



USDA Entity Guidelines Highlight Modeling Needs

- All disciplines
 - –Fire
 - -Silviculture
 - -Harvested Wood Products
 - -Lateral Flows
 - -Attribution/Disturbance
 - -Remote Sensing
- Modeling Refinement Empowers
 - -Adaptative Practice Evaluation
 - -Dynamic Incorporation of Climate Change



Quantifying Greenhouse Gas

Inherent Component of MMRV Federal Plans

• USDA Ag/Forest Sector

-Forest modeling broadly highlighted with specific identification of FVS

• White House Strategy

-GHGMMIS

-Focused on fossil fuel emissions

-Forest modeling identified

Forest MMRV Workshop

-Explore integration of modeling approaches (bottom-up and topdown)



NATIONAL STRATEGY TO Advance an Integrated U.S. Greenhouse Gas Measurement, Monitoring, and Information System

A REPORT BY THE GREENHOUSE GAS MONITORING AND MEASUREMENT INTERAGENCY WORKING GROUP

USDA

FCMG: A Manhattan Project of Forest Carbon Modeling

• Background

- –USFS and NCASI Partnership to Advance Biometric Models
- –Growing Demands (EOs, C Markets, Deforestation-Free Trade, Adaptive Mgmt)
- -How do we maximize impact across diverse land base (old growth to industrial plantations) and society (National Forests to private to states)?

Key Engaging Questions

- –What are the primary needs in forest carbon modeling for natural climate solutions?
- –What biometrical platforms are needed to specifically address the primary needs in forest carbon modeling and how does a tool such as FVS fit?





FCMG Progress

- Convened biometricians across sectors to identify and meet emergent forest carbon modeling needs
- Progress To-Date
 - -Facilitated discussions towards strategic plans
 - -Article series developed and submitted
 - -\$1.9 million Cooperative Agreement executed September (2024) and aligned with Partnership for Small Area Estimation
 - -Staffed FVS R&D Liaison

PotlatchDeltic Weyerhaesuer NCX **Roseburg Forest Products** Seven Islands Land Company U of Florida NAFO **Campbell Global** U of Alabama Mass Dept of Conservation **TTG Forestry Services** NC State U U of Maine Stephen F Austin State U RMS **U** of Tennessee **Three Trees Consulting US Endowment International Paper BTG Pactual** MS State U



Va Tech Rayonier ArborGen American Forests Ecotrust UBC Paul Smith's Maine FS U of Alberta Mason Bruce and Girard OSU Green Diamond Molpus Woodlands Group **U New Brunswick** Timberland Investment Resources **F&W Forestry Services** Michigan State U Southern Cross Biometrics CARB

SUNY ESF

UGA

TNC



FCMG Identified Forest Modeling Priorities



FCMG Strategy

- Prioritizing Opportunities to Empower Forest Carbon Decisions through Strategic Investment in Forest Modeling Capacity
- Scalable, hierarchical, and transdisciplinary design that can address short-term needs (e.g., improved tree regeneration modeling) and drive long-term scientific advances (e.g., albedo and lateral flux modeling) with science delivery to inform strategic deployment of NCS across landscapes while empowering GHG measurement, monitoring, reporting and verification.



Workflow of Forest Carbon Modeling in Support of Natural Climate Solutions



FCMG Tactics

Growth, Mortality, and Regen Models with Application to Silviculture	Dynamic equation calibration process	
Genetics and G&Y Models	Calibrate public versus industrial/proprietary models	• Sev
Belowground Carbon	Explore fractal root models	area • Exa rese
Natural Disturbances	Model-data integration to constrain uncertainties	
Carbon Reporting and Uncertainty	Establish uncertainty reporting best practices	app
Carbon Tools and Applications	Standards for code transparency	
Forest Vegetation Simulator	 Error estimates for secondary effects such as height growth 	

- Seven strategic areas identified
- Examples of tactical research and applications

Application: European Union Deforestation Regulations

- Future of forest carbon quantification is scalable, transparent, co-produced, and data intensive
- Future of ag/forest trade may become inextricably linked to the ecosystem from where it came and the GHG intensity of the supply chain
- Forest C models may become driver of future trade

Definitions Forests & Degradation



Monitoring Data and D

Data Architecture Tools Transparency



Closeout

- Forest modeling highlighted in strategic plans from White House to USDA to Partners
- Public/Private Partnerships codified to advance modelling improvements
- IRA/BIL funding serves as a down payment on collaborative partnerships to advance modeling refinements
- Emerging Efforts such as MMRV, GCSA, and Entity Guidelines V3.0 will necessitate modeling advances and draw upon the FCMG



USFS 2024 Entity Guidelines Fire Emission Modeling





USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.