Climate Change Projections for Individual Tree Species



This region's forests will be affected by a changing climate and other stressors during this century. Researchers and managers created an assessment that describes the vulnerability of forests in the Central Appalachians region (Butler et al. 2015: <u>doi.org/10.2737/NRS-</u> <u>GTR-146</u>). This report includes information on the current landscape, observed climate

trends, and a range of projected future climates. It also describes many potential climate change impacts to forests and summarizes key vulnerabilities for major forest ecosystems. This handout summarizes data from the U.S. Forest Service's Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Two climate scenarios are presented to "bracket" a range of possible futures. These future climate projections (2070 to 2099) provide information about how individual tree species may respond to a changing climate. Results for "low" and "high" emissions scenarios can be compared on the reverse side of this handout.

The Tree Atlas provides information to interpret tree species changes:

- **SUITABLE HABITAT** calculated based on 45 variables that explain where conditions exist for a species, including soils, landforms, and climate variables.
- **ADAPTABILITY** based on life-history traits that might increase or decrease tolerance of expected changes, such as the ability to withstand different forms of disturbance.
- **CAPABILITY** a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change in this region based on suitable habitat change (statistical modeling), adaptability (literature review and expert opinion), and abundance (inventory data). The capability rating is modified by abundance information; ratings are downgraded for rare species and upgraded for abundant species. Capability rating may not appropriately reflect the outlook for species with emerging severe forest health issues, such as ash species affected by emerald ash borer. See the table to the right for ratings.
- **MIGRATION POTENTIAL MODEL** when combined with habitat suitability, an estimate of a species' colonization likelihood for new habitats. This rating can be helpful for assisted migration or focused management.

Remember that models are just tools, and they're not perfect. Model projections can't account for all factors that influence future species success. If a species is rare or confined to a small area, model results may be less reliable. These factors, and others, could cause a particular species to perform better or worse than a model projects. Human choices will also continue to influence forest

CLIMATE CHANGE CAPABILITY TABLE.

Capability is a rating of the species' ability to cope or persist with climate change. Species are organized into poor, fair, good, and mixed capability ratings. Species with new suitable habitat or low model reliability are excluded from this table. See the Tree Species Projections table legend on the following page for more information on ratings.

NOTE: Capability rating may not reflect severe forest health issues (e.g., emerald ash borer).

POOR CAPABILITY

American basswood	Pitch pine						
American holly	Quaking aspen						
Bald cypress	Red pine						
Balsam fir	Red spruce						
Bigtooth aspen	Shingle oak						
Black ash	Striped maple						
Bur oak	Sweet birch						
Eastern hemlock	White ash						
Eastern white pine	Yellow birch						
FAIR CAPABILITY							
Black cherry	Shagbark hickory						
GOOD CAPABILITY							
Black oak	Pignut hickory						
Blackgum	Post oak						
Blackjack oak	Red maple						
Chestnut oak	Shortleaf pine						
Chinkapin oak	Sourwood						
Eastern redcedar	Sugarberry						
Flowering dogwood	Sweetgum						
Loblolly pine	Virginia pine						
Mockernut hickory	White oak						
Northern red oak	Yellow-poplar						
MIXED CAPABILITY							
American beech	Osage-orange						
American elm	Scarlet oak						
Hackberry							

distribution, especially for tree species that are projected to increase. Planting programs may assist the movement of futureadapted species, but this will depend on management decisions. Despite these limits, models provide useful information about future expectations. It's perhaps best to think of these projections as indicators of possibility and potential change.

CREDIT: This handout summarizes the full model results for the Central Appalachians region. Data provided by the USDA Forest Service (M.P. Peters, A.M. Prasad, S.N. Matthews, & L.R. Iverson) as part of the Climate Change Tree Atlas (doi.org/10.2737/Climate-Change-Tree-Atlas-v4). Models and variables are described in Iverson et al. 2019 and Peters et al. 2019 (available at <u>fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/products/pubs</u>). More information on vulnerability and adaptation in the region can be found at forestadaptation.org/central-appalachians.



This handout is a product of the USDA Northern Forests Climate Hub and the Northern Institute of Applied Climate Science, a collaborative, multi-institutional partnership led by the USDA Forest Service. Funding was provided by the USDA Forest Service.



USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Tree Species Projections Table

Information presented in the table is from the Climate Change Tree Atlas regional summaries, more details at fs.usda.gov/nrs/atlas/combined/resources/summaries.

ADAPTABILITY: Life-history factors, such as the ability to respond favorably to disturbance, that are not included in the Tree Atlas model and may make a species more or less able to adapt to future stressors.

- + **HIGH** Species may perform better than modeled
- MEDIUM
- LOW Species may perform worse than modeled

HABITAT CHANGE: Projected change in suitable habitat between current and potential future conditions.

- ▲ INCREASE Projected increase of >20% by 2100
- NO CHANGE Projected change of <20% by 2100
- ▼ DECREASE Projected decrease of >20% by 2100
- ★ NEW HABITAT Tree Atlas projects new habitat for species not currently present

ABUNDANCE: Based on Forest Inventory Analysis (FIA) summed Importance Value data, calibrated to a standard geographic area.

- + ABUNDANT
- · COMMON
- RARE

CAPABILITY: An overall rating that describes a species' ability to cope or persist with climate change based on suitable habitat change class, adaptability, and abundance within this region. Capability may not reflect severe forest health issues.

- **GOOD** Increasing suitable habitat, medium or high adaptability, and common or abundant
- **FAIR** Mixed combinations, such as a rare species with increasing suitable habitat and medium adaptability
- ▼ POOR Decreasing suitable habitat, medium or low adaptability, and uncommon or rare

SPECIES A		ABUN	LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)			rorrare		LOW CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 4.5)		HIGH CLIMATE CHANGE (RCP 8.5)	
	ADAPT		HABITAT CHANGE		HABITAT CHANGE	CAPABILITY	SPECIES	ADAPT	ABUN	HABITA CHANGI	T E CAPABILITY	HABITAT	
American basswood	•	•		∇		∇	Osage-orange	+	_	٠	0		Δ
American beech	•	•	•	0	▼	∇	Pignut hickory	•	•		Δ		Δ
American elm	•	•	•	0		Δ	Pin oak*	_	_	٠	V	•	∇
American holly	•	_	▼	∇	•	∇	Pitch pine	•	_	٠	∇	•	∇
American hornbeam*	•	_		∇		0	Post oak	+	_		Δ		Δ
Bald cypress	•	_		∇	▼	∇	Quaking aspen	•	_	▼	V		V
Balsam fir	_	_		∇	▼	∇	Red maple	+	+		Δ		Δ
Bigtooth aspen	•	•	▼	∇	▼	∇	Red pine	_	_		∇		∇
Bitternut hickory*	+	•		Δ		Δ	Red spruce	_	_	▼	V		∇
Black ash	_	_		∇	▼	∇	River birch*	•	_	▼	V		∇
Black cherry	_	+	▼	0	▼	0	Sassafras*	•	•		Δ		Δ
Black locust*	•	•	•	0	•	0	Scarlet oak	•	•		Δ	•	0
Black oak	•	•		Δ		Δ	Serviceberry*	•	_		∇		∇
Black walnut*	•	•		Δ		Δ	Shagbark hickory	•	•	٠	0	•	0
Black willow*	_	_		∇	•	∇	Shingle oak	•	_	٠	∇	•	∇
Blackgum	+	•		Δ		Δ	Shortleaf pine	•	_		Δ		Δ
Blackjack oak	+	_		Δ		Δ	Silver maple*	+	•	٠	Δ	•	Δ
Boxelder*	+	•	•	Δ		Δ	Slash pine	•		*		*	
Bur oak	+	_		∇	▼	∇	Slippery elm*	•	•	٠	0		Δ
Cherrybark oak	•		*		*		Sourwood	+	•		Δ	•	Δ
Chestnut oak	+	+	•	Δ	•	Δ	Southern red oak	+	_		Δ		Δ
Chinkapin oak	•	_		Δ		Δ	Striped maple	•	_	٠	∇		V
Cucumbertree*	•	•	•	0	•	0	Sugar maple	+	+	•	Δ		Δ
Eastern cottonwood*	•	_		∇	▼	∇	Sugarberry	•	_		Δ		Δ
Eastern hemlock	_	•	•	∇	•	∇	Swamp white oak*	•	_		∇		∇
Eastern hophornbeam'	+	_	•	0		Δ	Sweet birch	_	•	▼	∇		∇
Eastern redbud*	•	_		Δ		Δ	Sweetgum	•	_		Δ		Δ
Eastern redcedar	•	-		Δ		Δ	Sycamore*	•	•		Δ		Δ
Eastern white pine	_	•	•	∇	•	∇	Virginia pine	•	•		Δ		Δ
Flowering dogwood	•	_		Δ		Δ	Water oak	•		*		*	
Green ash*	•	•		Δ		Δ	White ash	_	•	٠	∇	•	∇
Hackberry	+	_	•	0		Δ	White oak	+	+		Δ		Δ
Loblolly pine	•	_		Δ		Δ	Winged elm	•		*		*	
Longleaf pine	•		*		*		Yellow birch	•	•	▼	V	▼	∇
Mockernut hickory	+	•		Δ		Δ	Yellow buckeye*	_	•	▼	V		∇
Northern red oak	+	•		Δ		Δ	Yellow-poplar	+	+	•	Δ		Δ

*Species with low model reliability based on five statistical metrics of the habitat models that affect change class.